Name: Donna Bierschbach and Chuck Baechler Club: WASC Position: Coaches

Proposal template:

Page 56 – long course and short course split meet template

Current bylaw or policy section and language:

State Meet Template -

Proposed bylaw or policy section and language:

- 1. Add 10 minute break every 3 heats of the mile if no warm up pool is available.
- 2. Add 10 minute break after the girls heats of the 800 / 1000 if there is no warm up pool available.
- Add 10 minute break 1/3 way through the session and then 2/3 way through the session.

 – younger swimmers break after event 32, 44, 89, 95.

Rationale:

- If there is no warm up/ cool down pool swimmers need the opportunity to warm up
 or cool down after an hour's time has passed.
- If there is no warm up / cool down pool swimmers need the opportunity to warm up before their event.
- 3. The younger swimmer's events get rushed and they cannot perform at their best without adequate rest.

Name: Donna Bierschbach and Chuck Baechler Club: WASC **Position: Coaches** Proposal template: Current bylaw or policy section and language: Proposed bylaw or policy section and language: 9.11.1 Combined Sceding - the 11-12, 13-14, 15 & Over age groups shall be seeded together in all prelim events, but seeded, scored, and awarded separately in Proposed bylaw or policy section and language: 9.11.1 Combined Seeding - the 11-12, 13-14, 15-16, 17-19, and 20 and over age groups shall be seeded together in all prelim events and finals, but scored and awarded separately in finals. Rationale: The 17-19 year old age group is already a declining group. Removal of their age group and grouping them with younger swimmers will not encourage older swimmers to attend the state meet and remain active. Also as it currently stands 20 year olds could win the age group of 15 and overs.

Name: Duncan R. Olney		Club: GREAT Swimming	Position: Head Coach
Proposal template:	Proposal must inclu	ide both the current and new	language if applicable.
Current bylaw or pol	icy section and langu	lage:	
individual events that h percentage award will I 04/19/2015)	ward will be awarded to las the highest points p be based on 1-8th place	third place teams shall receive avoice the team with a minimum of second er entry ration, calculated after established a	even swimmers with excluding relay points. The 2/2002, 04/22/2006,
Proposed bylaw or po	olicy section and lang nce The percentage aw 96, 04/19/2015).		scoring: 9-7-6-5-4-3-2-1.
your points don't coun formula/problem to be them don't know about	it or help the team so e done at the end of tt this???). This rule a	contribute too, not just the to ore points, but this tells them oward this award. Also, this re the meet for the Meet Direct also has different scoring poin (1-16th place) 17-15-14-13-1	o if you're not Top 8 equires another math or (which some of

Name: Duncan R. Olney **Club: GREAT Swimming** Position: Head Coach Proposal template: Proposal must include both the current and new language if applicable. Current bylaw or policy section and language: 9.11 & 10.4 Seeding (2) Seeding/Conforming Times – At the Long Course Championships, seeding priority will be long course meters (LCM), short course meters (SCM), short course yards (SCY). For Short Course Championships held in yards the seeding priority will be short course yards (SCY), short course meters (SCM), long course meters (LCM). For Short Course Championships held in meters the seeding priority will be short course meters (SCM), short course yards (SCY), long course meters (LCM). Times will not be converted. (04/27/2014) Proposed bylaw or policy section and language: Change ad Delete: (2) Seeding/Conforming Times – At the Long Course Championships, seeding priority will be long course meters (LCM), short course yards (SCY), short course meters (SCM). For Short Course Championships held in yards the seeding priority will be short course yards (SCY), short course meters (SCM), long course meters (LCM). For Short Course Championships held in meters the seeding priority will be short course meters (SCM), short course yards (SCY), long course meters (LCM). Times

Rationale:

will not be converted. (04/27/2014)

With the state having only one Pool left for competition in SCM (Spearfish). I feel this cause extra work for the meet director, Seed committee and coaches to work with conversions to insure swimmer are place in the best heat. This is especially true at B State when you want swimmer race again like speed/time swimmers. We must send in actual time right now, let meet management convert them for the seeding of the meet. Another topic about dropping SCM SDQ should follow

Name: Duncan R. Olney		Club: GREAT Swimming	Position: Head Coach
Proposal template:	Proposal must incl	ude both the current and new	
Current bylaw or pol	icy section and lang	uage:	
(2) Profits from spons	pool facility rental, an oved by the LSC. orships, advertising, p	g (09/20/2009) Indicate the cost of awards will be paid or a second concessions will go to going to the LSC, 25% to the hos	
Proposed bylaw or po	licy section and lang	guage:	
_(3) Other profits will be	e split 50/50 with 50%	going to the LSC, 50% to the host	club.
To make up the lost re	venue to the LSC I a	also would change the B State	to Read:
		g to the LSC, 75% to the host club.	
Rationale:			
There is a lot of work g host team to only recei	oing into a STATE Ch we 25% of the profit	hampionship (in Pierre 20185 ts but doing 95% of the work.	523 Swimmer) for the

Name: Donna Bierschbach and Chuck Baechler Club: WASC Position: Coaches

Proposal template:

Current bylaw or policy section and language:

9.4 Financial Arrangements and Profit Sharing (09/20/2009)

- (1)Sanction Fees, the pool facility rental, and the cost of awards will be paid by the LSC. Any other expenses must be approved by the LSC.
- (2)Profits from sponsorships, advertising, programs and concessions will go to the host club.
- (3)Other profits will be split 75/25 with 75% going to the LSC, 25% to the host club.

Proposed bylaw or policy section and language:

The above would be deleted.

Rationale:

- 1. LSC does not need the money
- 2. The state meet is a headache and the host team bears the good and bad of the meet.
- 3. The profit sharing idea was designed to make host teams not want the state meet due to financial reasons. However, teams still want the state meet for other reasons – such as: to please city officials, bring people to their town, etc.
- This meet is no different than the B Championship, except there are more headaches and the host team should get the compensation from running the meet.